

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,503	01/25/2002	David C. Issler	10294-607001	4327	
7590 01/09/2006 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSON & REENS LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619			EXAMINER		
			STASHICK, A	STASHICK, ANTHONY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3728		

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/057,503	ISSLER, DAVID C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Stashick	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re tition. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  ply be timely filed  I'HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 06 October 2005.					
·— · · _ ·						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	rithdrawn from consideration.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	S) Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-22,24,25 and 27-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce	uments have been received.					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ol>	ne priority documents have been i	received in this National Stage				
application from the International I						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3728

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 6, 2005 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 18, 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Truelsen 5,079,856. Truelsen "856 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: an outsole assembly 24 having a heel region with an inner surface (see Figure 3, heel region shown having an inner surface that 23 is placed upon), an outer surface adapted to be in contact with a walking surface (that portion of 24 that makes contact with the ground) and an opening 12 extending from the inner surface to the outer surface (see Figure 3); a footbed 23 positioned over an area of the inner surface of the heel region (see Figure 3); a plug 2 combined with the footbed (see Figure 3) to provide a single unit and placed in the opening (see Figure 3) for enhancing comfort; the opening is unobstructed in a location at least partially defined by a

Art Unit: 3728

bottom perimeter of the plug and a distance between the bottom perimeter and the outer surface (see Figure 3, nothing located between the bottom of the plug and the outer surface of 24); the opening is positioned in a heel region of the inner surface of the heel region (see Figure 3, plug located in the heel region); providing a heel region having an inner surface and an outer surface adapted to be in contact with a walking surface (see Figure 3); extending an opening from the inner surface to the outer surface (see Figure 3); positioning a footbed over an area of the inner surface of the heel region (see positioning of 2 in Figure 3); placing a plug in the opening for enhancing comfort (see plug 2 in Figure 3); removing obstructions from the opening in a location at least partially defined by a bottom perimeter and the outer surface (note the area under 2 in Figure 3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 19-20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truelsen 5,079,856 as applied above in view of McClelland 5,775,005. Truelsen '856 as applied above discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed except for the hardness characteristics of the outsole and the footbed. McClelland '005 teaches that the footbed of a shoe can be formed of a material having a first hardness that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness (pad 22 is formed of EVA or other flexible resilient materials while outsole body 24 is

Art Unit: 3728

manufactured from an opaque natural or synthetic resin that is durable and oil resistant) and that the plug can be formed of a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness characteristic (see above). The footbed and the plug are formed of a material having substantially the same hardness characteristic (footbed and plug both are formed of resilient material. Further more, McClelland '005 teaches forming the footbed 22 using a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness characteristic (see descriptions of outsole 24 and footbed 22); forming a plug 30 using a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole 24 material having a second characteristic (see descriptions of outsole 24 and plug 30); the footbed is formed of a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness characteristic (see descriptions of footbed 22 and outsole. The purpose of these hardness characteristics is to aid in cushioning the impact of the user's foot with the ground while allowing for long wear of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time the invention was made, to make the footbed material of Truelsen '856 out of a material of lesser hardness than the outsole to allow for the outsole to resist wear and tear while allowing the user's foot to be cushioned during impacts of the user's foot with the ground.

6. Claims 5, 6, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truelsen 5,079,856 as applied above in view of Design Choice. Truelsen '856 as applied above discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed except for the specific hardness of the material used for the outsole, footbed and plug. It appears that it would have been a mere matter of design choice to choose the hardness of the material to be used for the footbed, plug and

Application/Control Number: 10/057,503

Art Unit: 3728

outsole to meet the desired function of cushioning the user's foot upon impact and durability of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious, and well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to make the footbed, plug and outsole out of a material made of any desired hardness to aid in cushioning the user's foot and preventing wear of the outsole as desired.

Page 5

Claims 8-14, 16-17, 24-25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 7. unpatentable over Truelsen 5,079,856 as applied to claims 1, 18 and 28 as applied above in view of Lober 844,882. Truelsen '856 discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the plug having a cap section and a pedestal with a lip being formed by the cap, the plug having first and second protrusions with different peripheries with the second protrusion being attached to the first protrusion and having a periphery larger than the periphery of the first protrusion. Lober '882 teaches that a plug depending from the bottom surface of a footbed and fitted within the opening of a sole can have a pedestal b and cap b' with the cap forming a lip extending past the perimeter of the pedestal. The lip of the cap preventing accidental removal of the plug from the opening of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make the plug of Truelsen '856 as applied to claims 1, 18 and 28 above with a pedestal and cap, as taught by Lober '882, to aid in holding the plug in the opening and prevent accidental removal of the plug from the opening. With respect to the perimeters of the cap and pedestal, Lober '882 meets these limitations in as much as that which is shown and disclosed by the applicant. With respect to claim 29, Lober '882 teaches that a plug can depend from the bottom surface of a footbed and be fitted within the opening of a sole; the plug can have a pedestal (first protrusion b) and cap (second protrusion b') with the cap forming a lip extending past the perimeter of the pedestal. The lip of the cap

Art Unit: 3728

prevents accidental removal of the plug from the opening of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make the plug of Truelsen '856, as applied to claim 28 above, with a pedestal, as taught by Lober '882, to aid in holding the plug in the opening and preventing accidental removal of the plug from the opening.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited on form 892 enclosed herewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Stashick whose telephone number is 571-272-4561. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

**ADS**